#### Introduction

In the School of Performing Arts, the faculty understands outcome goals and objectives to be simply a formal way of describing desired outcomes and specifying the desired results of a learning activity. We consider learning to be a relatively permanent change in student behavior and function. Since producing learning is a complex process that entails the ability to reliably produce particular types of learning in theatre, dance and musical theatre, the teaching and learning processes across the school are deliberately managed. Such process management entails fully articulated statements of intended learning outcomes across all of our majors, that is, what students should know and be able to do as the result of the educational experience (i.e., the curriculum, course or lesson, and performance experience).

Outcome assessment in the School of performing Arts is designed to align obtained results with intended outcome goals and objectives across all three disciplines of theatre, musical theatre and dance. Our outcome assessments in each program compare the actual outcomes produced within each discipline with the desired outcomes of the school itself and is based upon a clear specification of the six main learning outcomes that are intended.

The school follows several guiding principles:

- LINK OBJECTIVES TO STANDARDS FOR YOUR DISCIPLINE. In the School of Performing Arts, six learning outcomes are designed with correlation to national accreditation standards in theatre, dance and musical theatre.
- FIND A WAY TO MAKE ASSESSMENT RELATIVELY EASY TO DO. We use two assessment to measure several things:
  - 1) We assess samples from two "capstone" courses in the Theatre and Musical Theatre programs: THEA 455 Senior Jury and THEA 451 Portfolio Review. These two samples serve as an exit exam in the advanced level of matriculation. The Dance Program assesses samples from only one sample, "Senior Concert," a non-credit, required project for graduation that is conducted in the senior year. Senior Concert includes a written self assessment. The writing sample shows grammar and writing proficiency as well as training in dance. The Musical Theatre Program These results are recorded and placed in each student's file for the eventual development of his/her portfolio. We also record the results in a database.
  - 2) We plan to use an exit survey, which is completed by all SPA majors when they bring their degree audit to our Academic Records Coordinator, Elnora Watson. The signed audit form will not be sent in until the student turns in the survey. (This exit survey will be implemented in Fall 2006).
- GET TRAINING, WHEN NEEDED, TO DO ASSESSMENTS.

  This academic year, the faculty in the School of Performing Arts has held a retreat to discuss assessment principles and methods, as well as to provide extra

mentoring in the process on an ongoing basis. Each program faculty met at the end of the spring semester in a day-long retreat to review and discuss the assessments in their program. The Chair has met individually with faculty members to provide any needed reinforcement in practices and procedures. We will seek funding to get new faculty trained during the next academic year, probably from Faculty Development funds.

## • TIE THE ASSESSMENbm

## **Indirect Measures:**

SPA Alumni Survey Performance Reviews and Critiques Anecdotal Feedback Accreditation Heads Reports

Collapse the date from each academic program into a summary report on assessment in the school.

What conclusions can be drawn from the assessment itself? What can we do better?

- 5. **Performance Experience:** Students completing a degree program in theatre, dance or musical theatre will participate in the production of plays, musicals or dance concerts as well as classroom performances and practicum assignments. This opportunity for experiential learning will require the demonstration and application of knowledge of both the art and the craft of the disciplinary concentration.
- 6. **Creative Development:** Students completing a degree program in theatre, dance or musical theatre will develop and communicate a sense of their own creative process, individual style and personal aesthetic.

#### ASSESSMENT OF SCHOOL GOALS

In accordance with its mission statement, the School of Performing Arts has established appropriate measures to assess the School's success in achieving its goals and objectives through its Theatre, Dance, and Music Theatre programs.

#### Accreditation Reviews and Annual HEADS Reports

WSU is accredited by the National Association of Schools of Dance and the National Association of Schools of Music. The School of Performing Arts Dance Program reviews were conducted in 1997 and 2003. The next review is scheduled for 2012-2013. The Musical Theatre Program reviews were conducted by the School of Music. The Theatre Program is currently positioning itself for future accreditation by the National Association of Schools of Theatre. In each case, program success will be evaluated with consideration of accreditation standards and data compiled in HEADS reports.

#### **Annual Faculty Review**

The Chair of the School of Performing Arts reviews each faculty member's goals and record of accomplishment in January for the previous calendar year. Evaluation criteria include teaching, creative/scholarly activity, and service that supports student learning. On the basis of this assessment, faculty members and the Chair collaboratively consider and design new goals according to a matrix of responsibilities for the current calendar year.

# Local, State, Regional and National Festival Adjudications and Professional Development

Student and faculty participation at discipline-specific festivals and competitions, such as the American College Dance Festival and the Kennedy Center American College Theatre Festival, provides feedback and evaluation in areas of performance, production and choreography by experts, scholars and professional adjudicators. The success of our students and faculty is measured by their creativity and artistry, the number of peer-reviewed scholarly activities in which they engage annually, and by their honors and awards.

#### <u>Placement</u>

Placement of graduates from the school in professional companies, internships, and graduate programs is

- 2. Laboratory Engagement: Inherent in the performance of theatre, musical theatre, and dance is a complex organizational system that includes artistic decisions, technical direction, production elements, management concerns, publicity, promotions, and the audience community. Through direct participation in laboratory experiences associated with coursework in performance, design/technical theatre, and production, students are assessed by faculty, designers, and shop supervisors in consultation with each other. Assessment may be the direct result of demonstrated evidence of responsibility to laboratory assignments in addition to methods of evaluation inherent in associated coursework. Learning Objectives: 1. Critical Thinking, 2. Communication Skills, 3. Knowledge of the Discipline, 4. Career Preparation, 5. Performance Experience, 6. Creative Development.
- **3. Senior Portfolio:** In conjunction with regular performances, training in each of the programs of the school culminates in the compilation of a discipline-specific portfolio (classroom projects, realized production projects in the School's Main Stage or Second Stage venues, resume, headshot, production photos, design, construction, technical plots and renderings, choreography, stage management, self-evaluations, etc.) suitable for job and/or graduate school applications. Through these portfolio projects, students are able to maintain an accurate record of their experiences and display their readiness for advanced study or work in a professional context. The review of senior portfolios by the faculty in each program serves to assess the student's representation of his or her history while at WSU. Oral feedback is given for all areas of the portfolio with assessment of individual projects, and the whole, based on a standard set of criteria (Attachment B– Portfolio Assessment). **Learning Objectives: 1. Critical Thinking, 2. Communication Skills, 3. Knowledge of the Discipline, 4. Career Preparation, 5. Performance Experience, 6. Creative Development.**

#### **Indirect Measure:**

1. Alumni Survey: (Attachment C – WSU School of Performing Arts Alumni Survey). Learning Objectives: 1. Critical Thinking, 2. Communication Skills, 3. Knowledge of the Discipline, 4. Career Preparation, 5. Performance Experience, 6. Creative Development.

#### RESULTS

The School Assessment Committee (a committee of the whole) plans to review assessment plans of the school and data collected yearly in an attempt to answer the following questions:

- Question 1: How are our students performing with regard to the school's stated learning objectives and what do the results imply about our school? A: If the majority of our students are meeting a large portion of our objectives, scoring mostly 3 and 4's on our rubrics, then we continue to teach as before. If students are receiving mostly 1 and 2's, we will re-analyze our teaching methodology and rubrics across the school in order for the students to start receiving 3's and 4's.
- Question 2: How effective is our current assessment plan and what changes, if needed, in our academic programs and our assessment plan will guarantee better outcomes for the students? A: If the majority of the students are receiving 3's and 4', then we continue as before, but if not, we re-think and re-do our assessment plan. School faculty committee will also meet with the chairperson to formulate a plan for change within our academic programs.
- Question 3: How effective is the current assessment plan for the school? Does each program need to be more involved in assessing outcomes in their specific areas?
- Q1.: Since the programs within the school have not maintained formal data or annual tracking on learning outcomes, we plan to implement program assessments across the school in fall 2005. These assessment plans will enable the faculty to gain a comprehensive sense of the extent to which our students are achieving the school's learning objectives. A copy of each student's assessment will be kept in their file. We will convene an assessment meeting of the faculty annually for the school-level assessment.

We plan to conduct Exit Interviews with all graduates, starting in fall 2005, and to begin tracking graduates annually to identify where they go, where they work, and their employment opportunities. We plan to post the SPA Alumni Survey on the web site in fall 2005 and to add a link especially for an alumni listserve.

The school will conduct a Cohort Study and a Peer Analysis for each program in collaboration with the Office of Institutional Research, starting in fall 2005.

#### FEEDBACK LOOP

The faculty currently provides oral critiques for all direct measures of learning outcomes. We have designed assessment tools (attached) which we plan to implement in fall 2005. Students will receive hardcopy letters with the assessments attached. Copies of the assessments will be kept in each student's file.

## Wichita State University School of Performing Arts

# **Senior Jury Assessment**

Date	
Name	
SSN	
Major	
Overall GPA Last Semester	
Local Address	
Local Phone	
List selections you choose to present for jury:	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
Please attach your senior jury program and resume.	

#### Areas of Assessment

Analysis	1	2	3	4
Research	1	2	3	4
Implementation	1	2	3	4
Execution	1	2	3	4
Overall	1	2	3	4
Effectiveness				

#### **Scoring Key:**

A score of 1 indicates 1) the lack of a clear understanding of the basic elements of the material; 2) failure to record and perform as directed; and 3) unwilling and/or unable to polish performance quality. In addition, fails to demonstrate either a willingness and/or ability to adjust performance as directed.

A score of **2** indicates one or more of the following: 1) the lack of a clear understanding of the basic elements of the material; 2) a failure to record and perform as directed; and 3) inconsistent willingness and or ability to polish performance quality. In addition, demonstrates only a marginal willingness and/or ability to adjust performance as directed.

A score of **3** indicates 1) a basic understanding of the basic elements of the material; 2) recorded and performed as directed; 3) willingness and or ability to polish performance quality; and 4) ability to make adjustments as directed.

A score of **4** indicates 1) a clear understanding of the basic elements of the material; 2) recorded, performed and expanded upon as directed; 3) eagerness and commitment to polishing performance quality; and 4) exceptional ability to incorporate adjustments as directed.

Grade			
Comments:			
Juror Signatures			,
	•		

## Wichita State University School of Performing Arts

#### **Senior Portfolio Assessment**

Date	
Name	
SSN	
Major	
Overall GPA Last Semester	
Local Address	
Local Phone	

#### **Scoring Key:**

A score of 1 indicates two or three of the following: 1) no attempt to organize a portfolio of performance work; 2) little thought behind organization of photos, reviews, outside peer recognition of work, supporting documents, etc.; or 3) no clear understanding of the importance of a portfolio.

A score of 2 indicates one of the threeul14 Td(of a portfolio.) 7yorf the threeul14 Td(o.0391 0 0 11.0391 1438.7-e o)3

detailed dates, plays, dances or roles performed, etc.; 4) head shot for audition purposes; 5) well-organized career preparation book, including personal philosophy and self-analysis, for use in job searches within the performing arts industry.
Comments:
Juror Signatures

A score of **4** indicates all four of the following: 1) an adequate understanding of the importance of a portfolio; 2) a good beginning collection of photos from official photo calls; 3) resume with

# Wichita State University School of Performing Arts

# **ASSESSMENT PLANS**

# THEATRE MUSICAL THEATRE DANCE SECONDARY LICENSURE

governmental, health, and labor organizations.

Wichita State University pursues its mission utilizing the human diversity of Wichita, the state's largest metropolitan community, and its many cultural, economic, and social resources. The University faculty and professional staff are committed to the highest ideals of teaching, scholarship, and public service, as the University strives to be a comprehensive, metropolitan university of national stature.

# Assessment of Program Goals (methods/frequency, who does it)

Annual Faculty Review
The Chair of the School of
Performing Arts review each faculty
member's goals and record of
accomplishment in January for the
previous calendar year. Evaluation
criteria include teaching,
creative/scholarly activity, and
service that supports student
learning. On the basis of that
assessment, the faculty member and
the Chair collaboratively design new
goals and a matrix of responsibilities
for the current calendar year.

Year End Assessment
All students are reviewed at the end
of the academic year by the entire
theatre faculty. B.F.A. students who
do not maintain a 3.0 GPA in courses
are required to meet with their
advisor. Assessment takes the form
of verbal evaluation between faculty
and student, and a written record of
the evaluation is placed in the
student's file.

Scholarship Review
The Theatre faculty meets each spring and reviews student

# Assessment of Learner Outcomes

Direct Measures:

#### 1. Senior Jury

Performance Seniors prepare a 25 – 35 minute showcase featuring their skills in auditioning and performance styles through monologues and scene work. Students demonstrate business skills by engaging in actively publicizing and marketing the performance event. The jury is assessed on a 4 point scale and students must earn a B or higher.

2. Senior Portfolio Review
This project requires that all
Senior Performance Majors
submit a headshot, resume,
narrative bio, and monologue
morgue. Technical Theatre &
Design majors must
present/submit a portfolio
showing demonstrated skills in
rendering; diversity in the design
field; demonstrated skills in
design, technical and artistic
abilities; demonstrated skills in
rough sketching and drafting;

#### Results

Yearly assessments will be utilized to measure how compatible our expectations are with our training process as follows:

1. Spring Faculty Retreat The faculty has decided to gather more substantial data annually. We will develop and maintain department link on School Website, including program audition information; application forms; course work; scholarship information; performance calendar; audio/video clips; photographs; newsletter; alumni news; chatroom.

Amendments to the program will be made as the theatre faculty recognizes better ways to serve our students and stay in step with the times.

If, in the course of the assessment, areas of deficiency are discovered

#### Feedback Loop

The entire Theatre faculty will meet to compile each student's assessment at the end of the year. Students will receive a score sheet and a verbal awareness of their program standing. Students must score a minimum of 6 out of 10 evaluating points on the assessment to maintain scholarship and position in the program.

Faculty Advisement in the Freshman and Sophomore year is all important in terms of makients scholarship applications for the following academic year. The Director of Theatre meets with the appropriate faculty of each area (performance or design/tech) to determine if students are meeting the criteria of their scholarship and if the scholarship should be increased based on any or all of the following criteria: a) financial need, b) academic performance, c) outstanding dedication to the program, d) demonstration of leadership.

presentation of realized and

University Mission

		1	T	T	T	· · ·	T
	Greater Wichita	provide a	prepared to	semester by the		precisely.	
Public and	area, and the	shaping	enter the	entire dance faculty			
community service	surrounding	influence in	profession as	through class		An Exit	
activities seek to	thirteen counties	the cultural	performers	evaluation and		Interview	
foster the cultural,	through	enrichment	and	subsequent		will be	
economic, and	numerous	of Wichita	choreographer	individual		implemented	
social development	performances	and the state	s, or	conferences		and	
of a diverse	and community	of Kansas	entrepreneurs			conducted by	
metropolitan	interaction each		of their own	<u>Technique</u>		dance faculty	
community and of	year.		dance careers	<u>Placement</u>		at the	
the state of Kansas.	ļ			All BFA students		conclusion of	
The University's	ļ		<ul> <li>Graduates are</li> </ul>	are adjudicated for		the student's	
service constituency	ļ		able to-create	technique-level		senior	
includes artistic and	ļ		and produce	placement upon		presentation	
cultural agencies,	ļ		substantive	entering the		and oral	
business and	ļ		choreographic			defense	
industry, and	ļ		works	beginning of each		accompanied	
community	ļ		independently	year by the dance		by an	
educational,			and	faculty.		assessment	
governmental,	ļ		collaborativel			grid.	
health, and labor	ļ		y, and direct	Scholarship			
organizations.	ļ		students in the			As a part of	
	ļ		performance	The faculty reviews		the	
Wichita State	ļ		of those	student		curriculum in	
University pursues	ļ		works	applications and		DANC 645	
its mission utilizing	ļ			conducts auditions		Practice in	
the human diversity	ļ			for		Teaching	
of Wichita, the	ļ			scholarship each		Dance,	
state's largest	ļ			spring		students are	
metropolitan	ļ			for the following		prepared for	
community, and its	ļ			academic year.		teaching	
many cultural,	ļ					internships in	
economic, and	ļ			Post Production		dance	
social resources.	ļ			<u>Evaluation</u>		studio/acade	
The University	ļ			Meetings:		my in the	
faculty and	ļ			Students meet with		Wichita	
professional staff	ļ			faculty to discuss		metropolitan	
are committed to the	ļ			Undergraduate		area. Faculty	
highest ideals of	ļ			Concert		will	
teaching,	ļ			projects annually.		implement	
scholarship, and	ļ			Students evaluate		an internship	
public service, as	ļ			performance		checksheet to	
the University	ļ			qualities		assess the	
strives to be a	ļ			and write a critical		competencies	
comprehensive,	ļ			overview of the		of students	
metropolitan				project.		engaged in	
university of						the class	
national stature.				Annual attendance		outreach	
				at American College		projects.	
				Dance Festivals			
				provides program			
				feedback and			
				evaluation in areas			
				of performance			
1	ļ		1	quality and			
ļ	•			choreography by			

		outside professional	
		adjudicators	
		unguarento i s	
		Placement of	
		students into local	
		and national	
		performance groups	
		and acceptance of	
		students in	
		professional training	
		programs indicate	
		competency that	
		Program goals are	
		being achieved.	
		These results will be	
		listserved on	
		website.	
		"Conto	
		Dayfarman as with	
		Performance with	
		WCDT, student	
		touring company	
		that is accepted by	
		the Kansas Arts	
		Commission	
		Touring Roster,	
		provides	
		program assessment	
		based on requests	
		for performances,	
		number of students	
		ready to tour, and	
		reviews.	
		Weekly dance	
		faculty meetings	
		• bi-weekly School	
		of	
		Performing Arts	
		faculty meetings	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		

## WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY School of Performing Arts

**	4 T Ta = 41:	o follor		1040 5454	20.24	
	_	e following so		_	_	) **
5 outstanding	4 superior	3 good	<b>2</b> fair	1 noor	0 not ann	licable
outstanding	superior	good	1411	poor	not app	licable
5 4 3 2 1	0					
	_ 20. Tl	he level of my le	earning in The	atre Perf	ormance at WS	SU was
	_ 21. Tl	he level of my le	earning in Desi	ign & Teo	chnical Theatre	e at WSU was
		he level of my le				
		he level of my le				
		he overall level				
	25. TI	he curriculum I	completed at	WSU was	S	
						d at WSU was
		ound the theat				
						DC
	_ 40.11	found the dance	studios at VV	o w ne	•	
29. Since comp	pleting m	y degree, I hav	e applied for,	been offer	red, or accepted	d the following
types of jobs in		jor field:				
applied	offered	accepte				
_	_	_		-	, dance or music	
_	_	_				cal theatre other
			than grades 7-12 teaching other than theatre, dance or musical			
_	_	_	theatre			
_	_	_	performing or designing theatre, dance or			
				al theatre		
_	-	_				e related position
_	_	_	discip		atre, dance or m	nusical theatre or
			discip	illies		
**	Use the	following sc	ale to respor	nd to sta	tements 30-3	Q **
5	4	_	_		1	
strongly agree	-	•	disagree stroi		disagree	not applicable
		f study at WSU.		-8-7	uzagree	посирупочего
	-	-				
5 4 3 2 1						
		nave adequate k				
	_ 31. I l	nave an approp	riate understa	nding of '	Theatre as a lib	eral art.
	_ 32. I l	nave a sufficien	t understandir	ng of Desi	gn & Technica	l Theatre.
		nave adequate k				
		nave adequate k				atre.
		nave an underst				
		The Carry of the C		02 0111		

 ${\bf 36.}$  Based on my program of study, I was prepared for my current position in the following ways:

37. I could have been better prepared for my current position in the following ways:
38. Regarding your educational experiences in your course of study, what do you think could be improved in the WSU Theatre, Dance or Musical Theatre program?
39. Regarding your educational experiences in your course of study, what do you think the WSU Theatre, Dance or Musical Theatre program does well?
40. Additional Comments:

University	Program Mission	Program goals	Learner	Assessment of	Assessment of	Results
Mission	(related to goals &	and Objectives	Outcomes	<b>Program Goals</b>	Learner Outcomes	
(related to	objectives)			(method/freque		
goals &				ncy, who does		
objectives)				it)		

advance the University's goals of providing high quality instruction, making original contributions to knowledge and human understanding , and serving as an agent of community service. This activity is a basic expectation of all faculty members at Wichita State University.

Public and community service activities seek to foster the cultural, economic, and social development of a diverse metropolitan community and of the state of Kansas. The University's service constituency includes artistic and cultural agencies, business and industry, and community educational, governmental, health, and labor organizations.

Wichita State

Music Theatre of Wichita and Stage One

Greater Wichita

thirteen counties

through numerous

area, and the

surrounding

each year.

• to advocate for and provide a shaping influence in the cultural enrichment of Wichita and the state of Kansas

internships with • preparation for career and/or scholarly pursuits: Students are prepared to enter the profession as performers and entrepreneurs

of their own

careers Bi-annual juries and Assessment students

acquire and utilize an eclectic range of performance experiences, developing the constellation of skills associated with Musical Theatre. including theatre, dance, and music techniques, in classical and modern repertory, as well as new works.

• creative development of the artist and the individual

Graduates are able to analyze and create a character independently, interpreting and integrating music and movement. They are capable of developing reviews or club acts for the purpose of

faculty member student quality. and the Chair collaboratively design new goals and a matrix of responsibilities for the current calendar year.

All students are reviewed at the end of each 

entire musical theatre faculty. BFA students who do not maintain a 3.0 GPA in course work may forfeit their scholarships. Students who are judged deficient in dance, acting and/or singing are placed on a probationary status in that area. Written evaluations from the juries are included in each student's file, and are available to

Technique Placement All BFA students are adjudicated for technique-level placement during the fall diagnostic auditions.

them.

Scholarship

**Indirect Measures:** 

1. HEADS report

- annual

2. School of Performing Arts Alumni Survey The success of our alumni, who continue to

ry, as J Td1565 u5-rsdstatus in that

perform after

udent's Students who activity is a matrix of 1565 ser available to status in that community

University

containing a minimum 5 songs in different styles organized in a professional manner.  Writing Assessment  NASM HEADS Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology needs.		 T	
songs in different styles organized in a professional manner.  Writing Assessment  NASM HEADS Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology		containing a	
different styles organized in a professional manner.  Writing Assessment  NASM HEADS Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
organized in a professional manner.  Writing Assessment  NASM HEADS Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology		songs in	
professional manner.  Writing Assessment  NASM HEADS Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
professional manner.  Writing Assessment  NASM HEADS Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology		organized in a	
manner.  Writing Assessment  NASM HEADS Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology		professional	
Assessment  NASM HEADS Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
Assessment  NASM HEADS Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
Assessment  NASM HEADS Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology		Writing	
NASM HEADS Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
Reports are used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology		NASM HEADS	
used to assess faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
faculty salaries, physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology		used to assess	
physical facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
facilities, faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
faculty loads, SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
SCH/FTE ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology		faculty loads	
ratios, graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology		SCH/ETE	
graduation rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
rates, budgets, scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
scholarships, program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology			
program cost relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology		rates, budgets,	
relative to peer institutions, equipment and technology		scholarships,	
institutions, equipment and technology		program cost	
equipment and technology		relative to peer	
technology			
needs.			
		needs.	