



Program Review Self-Study Template

Academic unit: Honors

College: Dorothy and Bill Cohen Honors College

Date of last review Not Applicable: degree approved 2014

Date of last accreditation report (if relevant) _____

List all degrees described in this report (add lines as necessary)

Degree: Honors Baccalaureate CIP* code: N10N

Degree: _____ CIP code: _____

Degree: _____ CIP code: _____

*To look up, go to: Classification of Instructional Programs Website, <http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/cipcode/Default.aspx?y=55>


Certificate (s): _____

Faculty of the academic unit (add lines as necessary)

Name	Signature
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Submitted by: _____
(name and title)

Date _____



1.

The Honors College will benefit the University by:

- Attracting and retaining academically exceptional and highly motivated students from the Wichita area, the region, the nation, and the world
- Enhancing the recruitment of high-achieving transfer students
- Increasing undergraduate enrollment
- Increasing student-faculty collaboration in teaching, research, and service
- Attracting and retaining high-quality faculty and staff
- Creating compelling connections across disciplines and with the greater Wichita community
- Enhancing the academic reputation of the University

The Honors College will benefit students and faculty by:

- Emphasizing academic rigor along with exploration, creativity, and discovery
-

2. *Interdisciplinary Honors Track (12 credits)*: A student who completes a 12-credit

2. Describe the quality of the program/certificate as assessed by the strengths, productivity, and qualifications of the faculty in terms of SCH, majors, graduates, and scholarly/creative activity (refer to instructions in the WSU Program Review document for more information on completing this section).

Complete the table below and utilize data tables 1-7 provided by the Office of Planning Analysis (covering SCH by FY

3. Academic Program/Certificate:

- f. For programs/departments with concurrent enrollment courses (per KBOR policy), provide the assessment of such courses over the last three years (disaggregated by each year) that assures grading standards (e.g., papers, portfolios, quizzes, labs, etc.) course management, instructional delivery, and content meet or exceed those in regular on-campus sections.

Provide information here:

- g. Indicate whether the program is accredited by a specialty accrediting body including the next review date and concerns from the last review.

Provide information here:

No accrediting body.

- h. Provide the process the department uses to assure assignment of credit hours (per WSU policy 2.18) to all courses has been reviewed over the last three years.

Provide information here:

- i. Provide a brief assessment of the overall quality of the academic program using the data from 3a – 3e and other information you may collect, including outstanding student work (e.g., outstanding scholarship, inductions into honor organizations, publications, special awards, academic scholarships, student recruitment and retention).

Provide assessment here:

4. Analyze the student need and employer demand for the program/certificate. Complete for each program if appropriate

5. Analyze the service the Program/certificate provides to the discipline, other programs at the University, and beyond. Complete for each program if appropriate (refer to instructions in the WSU Program Review document for more information on completing this section).

Evaluate table 16 from the Office of Planning Analysis for SCH by student department affiliation on fall census day.

- a. Provide a brief assessment of the service the Program provides. Comment on percentage of SCH taken by majors and non-majors, nature of Program in terms of the service it provides to other University programs, faculty service to the institution, and beyond.

Provide assessment here:

6. Report on the Program's/certificate's goal (s) from the last review. List the goal (s), data that may have been collected to support the goal, and the outcome. Complete for each program if appropriate (refer to instructions in the WSU Program Review document for more information on completing this section).

(For Last 3 FYs)	Goal (s)	Assessment Data Analyzed	Outcome

7. Summary and Recommendations

- a. Set forth a summary of the report including an overview evaluating the strengths and concerns. List recommendations for improvement of each Program (for departments with multiple programs) that have resulted from this report (relate recommendations back to information provided in any of the categories and to the goals and objectives of the program as listed in 1e). Identify three year goal (s) for the Program to be accomplished in time for the next review.

Provide assessment here: